

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/916,689	HAYASHI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ishwar (I. B.) Patel	2827	

All Participants:

(1) Ishwar (I. B.) Patel.

(2) Abraham J. Rosner (Reg. 33,276).

Status of Application: _

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6/20/04

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

3-14

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SEE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Called applicant's representative and asked to (a) amend claims 3, 11 and 12, to avoid possible antecedent basis objections and (b) to amend claims 3 to incorporate limitation of claim 6 and respectively amend claims 11 and 12, to place the application in condition of allowance as the argument that the combination of prior arts does not disclose the limitation "the inorganic filler with a particle size greater than one-half the height of said electrode", found persuasive. Applicant's representative gave authorization to amend the application by examiners amendment. .